

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/886,186	06/21/2001	David F. Craddock	AUS920010472US1	6475
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Duke W. Yee			SHINGLES, KRISTIE D	
Carstens, Yee &	Ł Cahoon, LLP		· ·	
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75380			2141	
		DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			•	
Examiner Examiner		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)
Status		1	86	CRADDOCK ET AL.
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E Betacions of time map be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, sept yealth in the stability of the communication of 10 CFR 1.13(6). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is the sept years of the communication of 10 CFR 1.13(6). If the sept within the stability period will apply and will region St(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by stability, cause the application to become ARAHONEO (5) U.S.C. § 113). Any tray is received by the Cffice tell than these maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any control period term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.73(6). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on O3 March 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This faction is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s)42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)42 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s)42 is/are rejected. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on O3 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the	Office Action Summa	Examine	PF.	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 31 CFR 1.13(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Education of time may be available under the provisions of 31 CFR 1.13(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Education of time may be available under the provisions of 31 CFR 1.13(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Education of the provision of				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If this provide to reply specified before is less than this (700 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this; (30) days will be considered friently. Failure to reply velicite the provide is less than the communication. Failure to reply velicite the provide is less than the provided of the communication of the provided prive Office and the thing the more designed and the provided prive Office and the than three mediums and velocities (10) (MONTHS from the realing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cameral patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)		mmunication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2005. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2005 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Internal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lift the period for reply specified above is less that If NO period for reply is specified above, the may Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ethis communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within the statimum statutory period will apply and to for reply will, by statute, cause the apmonths after the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a reply be t atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Prefsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Internal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) ☐ Notice of Internal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in cor	2b)☐ This action is ndition for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, p	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte	is/are withdrawn from co		
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 Ma.</u> Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	rch 2005 is/are: a)⊠ acce ny objection to the drawing(s) acluding the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	e of: priority documents have be priority documents have be copies of the priority docum ernational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica nents have been receivule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 18, 25, 27, 31, 33, 37 and 39. Claims 1-42 are pending.

Drawings & Specification

1. The proposed drawing and specification corrections filed on 3/3/2005 have been accepted by the Examiner. The corrections to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-25, 27-31, 33-37 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Acharya* (USPN 6,459,698) in view of *Pettey* (US Publication 2004/0128398).

Art Unit: 2141

- a. **Per claim 25,** *Acharya* teaches a method of routing data between a system area network and an external network, comprising:
 - receiving, within an Internet Protocol (IP) router, data from a host channel adapter that utilizes an Infiniband (IB) protocol as its network protocol for data communications, the IP router utilizing IP as its networking protocol for data communications, the IP router being connected directly to the host channel adapter (col.2 lines 18-46, col.3 line 45-col.4 line 22 and col.7 line 52-col.8 line 21);
 - parsing a routing header of the data (col.2 lines 24-44 and col.7 line 49col.18 line 10);
 - identifying an output port of the router based on the parsing of the routing header (col.2 lines 36-46, and col.7 line 52-col.8 line 21); and
 - sending the data out of the router via the identified output port (col.2 lines 36-46, col.6 lines 43-56 and col.7 line 63-col.8 line 21).

Although *Acharya* teaches outputting data via identified virtual lanes based on information acquired from parsing the router header (col.7 line 63-col.8 line 21), *Acharya* fails to explicitly teach outputting the data via an identified output port. However, *Pettey* teaches the selection of an output port based on the parsed header data (paragraphs 0052-0059, 0061, 0068, 0088, 0102-0104, 0112-0116 and 0136).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Acharya* and *Pettey* for the purpose of identifying an output port from the parsed data in the routing header; because the routing header is known for specifying destination and port information in order to efficiently accomplish routing the communicated data from the source to the appropriate destination and interface.

Art Unit: 2141

- b. **Per claim 1**, *Acharya* teaches a method of transmitting data packets from a system area network device to an external network device, comprising:
 - passing data generated by a host process to a host channel adapter that utilizes an Infiniband (IB) protocol as its networking protocol for data communications (col.2 lines 18-46 and col.7 line 52-col.8 line 7); and
 - passing the data from the host channel adapter directly to an Internet Protocol (IP) router that uses IP as its networking protocol for data communications, the router being connected directly to the host channel adapter, the router also being coupled to an external network that utilizes IP as its networking protocol for data communications (col.2 lines 28-41, col.3 line 45-col.4 line 22 and col.7 line 52-col.8 line 21).
- c. Claims 1, 9, 17, 31 and 37 contain limitations that are substantially equivalent to claim 25 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- d. Per claim 2, Acharya and Pettey teach the method of claim 1, Pettey further teaches the method, wherein passing the data generated by a host process to a host channel adapter included in a host includes invoking an Internet Protocol (IP) over InfiniBand (IB) device driver in the host (paragraphs 0019, 0081-0088, 0101-0106 and 0126).
- e. Claims 10 and 18 are substantially equivalent to claim 2 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- f. Per claim 3, Pettey teaches the method of claim 2, wherein passing data generated by a host process to a host channel adapter includes creating an IP over IB Queue Pair in the host channel adapter for use with the IP over IB device driver (paragraphs 0022-0023, 0085-0092, 0097-0099, 0102-0112, 0121 and 0134-0142; Acharya: col.3 line 66-col.4 line 55 and col.6 lines 5-23).

Art Unit: 2141

g. Claims 11 and 19 are substantially equivalent to claim 3 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.

Page 5

- h. **Per claim 4,** *Pettey* teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the step of passing data generated by a host process to a host channel adapter is performed in response to an I/O Transmit transaction being received by the IP over IB device driver (paragraphs 0016-0019, 0022-0026, 0041, 0058-0059, 0075-0085, 0098, 0110-0116 and 0130-0134; *Acharva*: col.6 lines 43-56 and col.7 lines 6-28).
- i. Claims 12 and 20 are substantially equivalent to claim 4 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- j. **Per claim 5,** *Pettey* teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the I/O Transmit transaction originates from one of a user level program and a kernel level program (paragraphs 0022-0026 and 0048-0059).
- k. Claims 13 and 21 are substantially equivalent to claim 5 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- 1. Per claim 6, Pettey teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the I/O Transmit transaction includes one or more pointers to one or more memory regions which contain the data, and wherein the I/O Transmit transaction further includes one of a destination address and destination address handle (paragraphs 0016-0025, 0051-0054, 0058-0061, 0071, 0081-0084, 0088-0092, 0102, 0124 and 0130-0134; Acharya: col.4 lines 4-22, col.6 lines 5-56 and col.7 lines 6-44).
- m. Claims 14 and 22 are substantially equivalent to claim 6 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.

Art Unit: 2141

- n. Per claim 7, Acharya and Pettey teach the method of claim 1, Pettey further teaches the method, wherein passing data generated by a host process to a host channel adapter includes using a Post Send verb to instruct the host channel adapter to send data from system memory to a designated destination (paragraphs 0019, 0051-0058, 0071, 0082-0090, 0093, 0098 and 0115-0123; Acharya: col.1 line 59-col.2 line 11, col.4 lines 4-13 and col.5 lines 24-39).
- O. Claims 15 and 23 are substantially equivalent to claim 7 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- p. Per claim 8, Acharya and Pettey teach the method of claim 1, Acharya further teaches the method, wherein the data is passed to the host channel adapter as one of a Raw Datagram and an Unreliable Datagram (col.3 lines 19-26, col.5 lines 24-39, col.5 line 64-col.6 line 4, col.6 lines 5-23 and col.7 lines 58-62).
- q. Claims 16 and 24 are substantially equivalent to claim 8 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- r. **Per claim 27,** *Acharya* and *Pettey* teach the method of claim 25, *Pettey* further teaches the method, wherein if the data is an Unreliable Datagram and the identified output port is not an InfiniBand output port, only an InfiniBand Transport Header associated with the data is discarded (paragraphs 0026, 0127-0128, 0131-0132 and 0135-0138).
- S. Claims 33 and 39 are substantially equivalent to claim 27 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- t. **Per claim 28,** Acharya and Pettey teach the method of claim 25, Pettey further teaches the method, wherein sending the data out of the router includes creating

Art Unit: 2141

an InfiniBand link layer header for the data (paragraphs 0104 and 0112; *Acharya*: col.6 lines 5-56).

- u. Claims 34 and 40 are substantially equivalent to claim 28 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- Per claim 29, Pettey teaches the method of claim 28, wherein the InfiniBand link layer header identifies a host channel adapter receive queue (paragraphs 0102 and 0106-0107; Acharya: col.4 lines 4-13 and col.5 lines 9-24).
- w. Claims 35 and 41 are substantially equivalent to claim 29 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- x. **Per claim 30,** *Pettey* teaches the method of claim 28, wherein the InfiniBand link layer header identifies an external network (paragraphs 0023, 0026, 0046, 0053, 0056, 0061, 0081, 0083, 0126 and 0139).
- y. Claims 36 and 42 are substantially equivalent to claim 30 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- 5. Claims **26, 32 and 38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Acharya* (USPN 6,459,698) in view of *Pettey* (US Publication 2004/0128398) and further in view of *Karpoff* (US Publication 2001/0049740).
- a. **Per claim 26,** Acharya and Pettey teach the method of claim 25, as applied above. Acharya teaches generating link layer fields, such as global routing headers (col.6 lines 43-56), yet Acharya and Pettey fail to explicitly identifying an output port of the router includes examining one of an InfiniBand Global Router Header's Destination Global Identifier and an IPv6 Destination Address. However, Karpoff teaches

the identifying and addressing scheme provided by IPv6 incorporated with the use of InfiniBand global routing which would implicitly include a header/identifier mechanism (paragraph 0088).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of *Acharya* and *Pettey* and combine the teachings of *Acharya*, *Pettey* and *Karpoff* to include identifying a routing output port by examination of the InfiniBand global ID and IPv6 destination address for the purpose of tracking and maintenance of the routing activity with the use of an identifier and an address, furthermore the use of IPv6 essentially provides increased available address space, permitting the extended capabilities of the system.

b. Claims 32 and 38 contain limitations that are substantially equivalent to claim 26 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: *Pettey et al* (USPN 6,594,712), *Collins et al* (US Publication 2002/0144001), *Latif et al* (USPN 6,400,730), *Pekkala et al* (US Publications 2002/0085493 and 2002/0172195) and *Tzeng et al* (USPN 6,912,604).
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2141

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 9

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kristie Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-

3888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie Shingles Examiner

Art Unit 2141

kds

AUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER